

Critical Path for Parkinson's Consortium | 3DT Initiative

Recommendations for involving people with Parkinson's in clinical studies using digital health tools



Authors

This presentation was prepared as part of the Critical Path for Parkinson's consortium's Digital Drug Development Tools (3DT) initiative.

Marjan Meinders, PhD* - Senior Research Fellow | Radboud University Medical Center Mark Frasier, PhD* - Vice President, Research Programs | Michael J. Fox Foundation Johan Hellsten, PhD (Person with Parkinson's) - Senior Specialist, Patient Insights | Lundbeck Tairmae Kangarloo, PhD - Senior Manager, Digital Strategy | Takeda Matthew Sullivan, PhD (Person with Parkinson's) | Parkinson's UK Natasha Ratcliffe, PhD – Research Involvement Manager | Parkinson's UK Cindy Zadikoff, MD – Medical Director | AbbVie Martijn Müller, PhD – Senior Scientific Director | Critical Path Institute Diane Stephenson, PhD – Executive Director | Critical Path Institute * Work group co-chairs

Project Manager:

Kimberly Ward Barowicz, CAPM, SHRM-CP – 3DT Project Manager | Critical Path Institute

About

Critical Path for Parkinson's (CPP)

A global consortium that promises to pave the path to new treatments for Parkinson's. By facilitating collaboration among scientists from the biopharmaceutical industry, academic institutions, government agencies, and patient-advocacy associations, CPP fosters consensus and data-driven research to increase efficiency, safety, and speed in developing new therapies.

CPP's Digital Drug Development Tools (3DT)

An initiative launched in 2018 to leverage the unique role of CPP as a neutral convener, bringing stakeholders together in a precompetitive space to collectively engage with regulatory agencies optimize the effective use of DHT in PD clinical trials.



Outline



The use of digital health technologies (DHT) in clinical studies introduces unique design complexities. The willingness and ability of people with Parkinson's (PwP) to engage in remote monitoring using DHT are paramount for the success of the trial. The **objective** of this slide deck is to produce guidelines, recommendations, and considerations for integration of DHT, regardless of the type of device, in PD clinical studies in order to improve the overall study design and execution, with the engagement of PwP as a key component of this process.

This slide deck provides examples of **the patient's perspective** in the use of DHT. A **review of the literature** was conducted that identified **barriers and facilitators for DHT use**. Based on these findings, recommendations for protocol design, enrollment, and protocol compliance, and participant retention were provided.

The use of DHT in clinical studies requires multiple stakeholders; clinicians, researchers, PwP, their partners, family, and careers alike. Successful engagement of PwP in clinical studies using DHT requires early and frequent involvement of all stakeholders in all aspects of the study. Patient experience data should also be generated to capture how PwP functions and feels, in accordance with regulatory advice.

Background



- There is a proliferation of clinical trials in Parkinson's (PD). (McFarthing et al., 2020, JPD)
- Capturing intervention effects remains challenging. Assessments performed in a clinic may not adequately capture episodic symptoms and experiences of daily living that are important to persons with PD (PwP).
- The use of digital health technology (DHT), such as mobile phones, activity sensors, and smartwatches, forms an exciting opportunity to capture clinically relevant and meaningful features of PD in real life.
- While study sponsors and PwP understand the potential value of objective DHT measurements in interpreting clinical trial results, study participants will have a range of experiences and aptitude with technology and sensors. The willingness and ability of PwP to engage in remote monitoring using DHT are paramount for the success of the trial.
- Recent studies, for example, showed that adherence was acceptable for remote task-based assessments, but not perfect, with adherence rates between 61-68%. (Lipsmeier *et al.*, 2018, Mov Dis; Silva de Lima *et al.*, 2017, Plos One)

The patient's perspective



In the past, PWP involvement in digital health development has been small and mainly in the context of technological research.

In the future, it is to be hoped that patient involvement will increase substantially and in equal partnership with researchers and clinicians.

The patient's perspective

"I have been privileged to test drive an early prototype system (SENSE-PARK) of wearablebattery powered sensors which record a wide range of symptoms and ancillary information that is then converted by scientifically designed algorithms into comprehensive data from which a PwP can learn more about managing individual medical idiosyncrasies.

How will this help my Health-Related Quality of Life?

I expect the design of the chosen system to be capable of measuring critical symptomatic and autonomic elements of my Parkinson's condition and general health over extended periods and provide reliable data for me and my clinician to plan appropriate treatments that I can respond to and that can benefit me holistically. I believe that a reliably measured perspective of what to expect in the future will free me from constant preoccupation and allow me to concentrate on those activities that support my desired lifestyle."

Male, 88 years old, diagnosed with PD 15 years ago

It takes a village | multiple stakeholders



The success of using DHT in clinical trials requires multiple stakeholders, including engagement of PwP in the use of remote DHT assessments. (Stephenson *et al.*, 2021, JPD)



Fig. 1. Digital Biomarkers for Parkinson's: Opportunities for the future. An overview of the current state of digital biomarkers for PD, and what success can be achieved by bringing all key stakeholders to collaborate together. (Stephenson *et al.*, 2021, JPD)



Successfully embedding DHT into clinical trials requires multiple stakeholders, including PwP.

Their perspective is informed by their immediate living & care environment.



Overview



 The use of DHT introduces unique complexities in clinical study design and operations.



Objective

• To produce guidelines, recommendations, and considerations for integration of DHT, regardless of the type of device, in PD clinical studies in order to improve the overall study design and execution, with the engagement of PwP as a key component of this process.

• For CROs, investigators, sites, trial sponsors, etc. who are designing and executing PD clinical studies that include DHT.

Φ

Audienc

Scope



In scope

- Designing a protocol that facilitates participant engagement
- Recommendations for materials that will enable efficient enrollment of study participants
- Providing information that maximizes protocol adherence for both passive and active monitoring

Out of scope

- Device-specific recommendations
- Study protocols including PwP with cognitive impairment requiring additional considerations

- Retain and keep participants motivated throughout the study
- Examples of suggestions/recommendations and good practice (e.g., vlogs, articles, materials currently in use) for each category above

Why create guidelines and recommendations to consider?



Integration of digital technologies into clinical trials is becoming commonplace in PD. Reducing drop-out rates will lower sample sizes needed at enrollment and minimize costly delays. The inclusion of DHT may impose unique challenges on PwP, e.g., frequent testing in-home environments, that requires a comprehensive understanding of requirements and expectations from the PwP perspective.

Trends in the field



Optimize studies

Understand unique challenges



Patient engagement and integration of the voice of PwP, at all stages of study design, is critical to ensure efficient recruitment and retention



barriers & facilitators for DHT use

A pragmatic exploration of the literature was conducted

- 12 feasibility studies, a combination of passive and active monitoring technologies.
- 1 qualitative study on general view on the use of wearable technologies.

References

- 1. AlMahadin *et al.* BMC Neurol. 2020; 20(1):419. <u>Parkinson's disease: current assessment methods and wearable devices for evaluation of movement disorder motor symptoms a patient and healthcare professional perspective</u>
- 2. Broen *et al.* PLoS One. 2016; 11(3):e0151195. <u>Unraveling the Relationship between Motor Symptoms, Affective States and Contextual Factors in Parkinson's Disease: A Feasibility Study of the Experience Sampling Method</u>
- 3. Cancela *et al.* Annual Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2013; 2013:7492-5. <u>A telehealth system for Parkinson's</u> disease remote monitoring. The PERFORM approach
- 4. Cancela *et al.* Sensors (Basel). 2014; 14(3):4618-33. <u>Feasibility study of a wearable system based on a wireless</u> body area network for gait assessment in Parkinson's disease patients
- 5. Cohen *et al.* BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2018;18(1):138. <u>Characterizing patient compliance over six months in remote digital trials of Parkinson's and Huntington disease</u>
- 6. Dominey *et al.* J Parkinsons Dis. 2020;10(4):1827-1832. <u>Introducing the Parkinson's KinetiGraph into Routine</u> Parkinson's Disease Care: A 3-Year Single Centre Experience
- 7. Ferreira *et al.* BMC Neurol. 2015;15:89. <u>Quantitative home-based assessment of Parkinson's symptoms: the SENSE-PARK feasibility and usability study</u>
- 8. Fisher et al. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2016 Dec;33:44-50. <u>Unsupervised home monitoring of Parkinson's</u> disease motor symptoms using body-worn accelerometers
- 9. Gatsios et al. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth. 2020 Jun 29;8(6):e16414. <u>Feasibility and Utility of mHealth for the Remote</u> <u>Monitoring of Parkinson Disease: Ancillary Study of the PD_manager Randomized Controlled Trial</u>
- 10. Heijmans et al. NPJ Parkinsons Dis. 2019 Sep 30;5:21. <u>Monitoring Parkinson's disease symptoms during daily life:</u> <u>a feasibility study</u>
- 11. Lipsmeier et al. Mov Disord. 2018 Aug;33(8):1287-1297. Evaluation of smartphone-based testing to generate exploratory outcome measures in a phase 1 Parkinson's disease clinical trial
- 12. Mitsi et al. Front Neurol. 2017 Jun 13;8:273. <u>Biometric Digital Health Technology for Measuring Motor Function</u> in Parkinson's Disease: Results from a Feasibility and Patient Satisfaction Study
- 13. Silva de Lima et al. PLoS One. 2017 Dec 20;12(12):e0189161. <u>Feasibility of large-scale deployment of multiple</u> wearable sensors in Parkinson's disease

barriers & facilitators for DHT use

Factors related to use of DHT itself

Barriers

- Text display too small³
- Technical problems ^{6,7}
- Connectivity issues cause missing data ²
- Unpleasant to carry a phone all day ¹⁰

Facilitators

- Device should be comfortable, noninvasive, waterproof, durable, small, not visible, and easy to fasten^{1,3,4}
- Wrist is the preferred location for the device¹
- DHT does not require behavioral changes^{2,13}
- DHT does not obstruct everyday activities³
- DHT does not require interaction^{10,13}
- Provide patient-facing summary⁶
- Develop the DHT in co-design with patients^{1,2,6}
- Incorporate gaming activity¹²



barriers & facilitators for DHT use

Factors related to the study participant

Barriers

- Repetitive assessments tend to become boring²
- Concerns about the impression to others while wearing the device = public disclose of having a disease* ^{3,4}
- Concerns about proper attachment and use of the DHT^{3,4}
- Attitude towards technology^{*1}
- Difficulties in understanding reports, based on registered data⁶

Facilitators

 Availability of a caregiver to help to attach/use the DHT properly^{4,9}

No influence

- No concerns about privacy¹
- Gender^{5,13}
- Age^{5,13}
- Disease status at baseline^{5,9,13}

- Attitude towards technology^{*13}
- No concerns regarding device visibility, as it might indicate to the community that the patient needs help*1,10

**inconclusive role*

barriers & facilitators for DHT use

Factors related to the clinical study design

Barriers

- Delay in receiving a report, based on registered data⁵
- In-accurate capture of the symptoms⁶
- Frequent PROs over the day: evenings have lower completion rates¹⁰

Facilitators

- Collect data that is meaningful to the participant²
- Proper instruction before use^{3,6,10,11}
- Intervention trial increases motivation⁵
- Schedules and unscheduled support calls^{5,13}
- Helpdesk^{5,13}
- Reminders¹⁰
- Short duration of the data-collection¹⁰
- Data collection at the same time every day¹¹

No influence

- Day of the week⁵
- Holidays⁵



Recommendations Protocol Design

- DHT is often used to assess motor fluctuations or fatigue. However, the use of DHT during these periods, especially, may place a high burden on PwP. How will DHT be used when PwP experiences fluctuations or fatigue? Can assessments be tailored to reduce the burden?
- What impact will the device and/or requested tasks have on participants' day-to-day lives, e.g., will they need to carry a device, does it need to be charged, how easy is it to take off or put on?
- How will seasonal weather and geographical differences affect DHT use?
- What is the duration of the study and the frequency of DHT assessments? An extended study or high frequency of assessments might mean reduced retention.
- Are there any cultural or socio-economic factors affecting the willingness to use DHT?
- Assess your participant population for circumstances/challenges that may play a role in DHT use in the trial. For example, a cognitively impaired population may require special recommendations *(out of scope for this slide deck).*



Recommendations Enrollment

- Provide informational materials, such as a pamphlet, in lay language clearly and concisely explaining:
- The rationale for the use of DHT in the study.
- What type/how much (personal DHT) data will be relayed during the study.
- The type of DHT assessments that will be conducted and what will be measured.
- Expectations from the study participant, e.g., time requirements, charging of devices, WiFi accessibility, physical environment, etc.
- Resources that are available to the study participant, e.g., technical support from a helpdesk, additional instruction in the use of the device if needed.
- What will happen with the data, e.g., who gets access, storage for how long, and privacy concerns in an FAQ section.



Recommendations Protocol Compliance & Participant Retention

- The DHT should minimize/not cause significant behavioral changes or interfere with activities of daily living.
- Do participants need to delay any of their daily activities because they must complete DHT assessments?
- How is the daily living environment changed by the use of DHT, e.g., does furniture need to be moved?
- Will it interfere with doing exercise?
- Incorporate gaming activity (however, must consider ethical concerns of coercion or undue influence)
- Design, with input from the PwP participant, a user-friendly interface, and ease of use.
- Adherence is affected by the perceived nuisance of the device. How and how many devices are carried? In a pocket, on the wrist, on a belt or strap?
- Be proactive about sharing with sites lessons learned regarding DHT use during the conduct of the study in order to optimize participant experience.



Recommendations Protocol Compliance & Participant Retention

- Engage participants early and frequently!
- Periodic retention events to build a community of participants engaged in research.
- Monthly newsletters or active study website/social media channel that frequently shares information with study participants, such as study updates and milestones.
- Messaging specifically about DHT use compliance at a group level, e.g.,
- "Since the last visit, 74% of study participants have completed more than 7 consecutive days of tracking with the XYZ device. These are very valuable data. Please keep up the good work"



Recommendations Protocol Compliance & Participant Retention

- Points of contact:
 - Dedicated point of contact for each participant, easily contacted by phone/email (e.g., technical support/help desk)
 - > Send personal reminders to participants
- Buddy system/ambassadors to pair experienced and new participants
- PwP may ask for their health-related results from the DHT. Providing this
 information may provide additional incentives for participation or protocol
 compliance and retention. While we firmly believe that participants are entitled to
 their own information, we recognize some of the concerns of investigators to
 provide this. Ethical concerns such as interpretation of clinically unvalidated
 observational DHT data will require an ongoing discussion between PwP, the
 investigators, and ethical review boards, and a disclosure plan is recommended.
 Similarly, research findings that may affect the management of a study participant's
 health, safety, or welfare require careful consideration by all stakeholders as well.



Recommendations Summary

- Do not throw out the baby with the bathwater. Analog and digital measurement can be complementary. For certain assessments, diary studies may be preferred. (Vega *et al.*, 2018, CHI Proceedings)
- Follow regulators' advice to assess the PwPs' perspective of how they function and feel by performing qualitative studies, *e.g.*, entry and exit interviews that generate patient experience data. (Schultz-Knudsen, *et al.*, 2020, Ther Innov Regul Sci)



Conclusions



To ensure successful engagement of PwP in clinical studies that utilize DHT, early and frequent involvement of PwP is required in all aspects of the study. The use of DHT in clinical trials is still in its infancy and many lessons can be learned. Continuous updating of these recommendations is needed. A standing working group including PwP that periodically reviews these recommendations may be required.

Resources

- <u>https://www.ppmi-info.org/participants/</u> click here ->
- <u>https://www.dimesociety.org/tag/patient-engagement/</u>



- <u>https://patientfocusedmedicine.org/the-patient-engagement-quality-guidance-download/</u>
- <u>Clinical-trial-charter-PDF.pdf (parkinsonsmovement.com)</u>



The Parkinson's Progression Markers initiative (PPMI) seeks to uncover insights into Parkinson's deseae (PA) seeks and any arguments in that could transform how we diagoose, treat, and potentially prevent PD.



References

- McFarthing *et al.*, J Parkinsons Dis. 2020; 10(3):757-74. <u>Parkinson's Disease Drug</u> <u>Therapies in the Clinical Trial Pipeline: 2020.</u>
- Lipsmeier F et al., Mov Disord. 2018; 33(8):1287-97. Evaluation of smartphone-based testing to generate exploratory outcome measures in a phase 1 Parkinson's disease clinical trial.
- Riggare *et al.,* J Parkinsons Dis. 2021; 11(s1):S5-S10. <u>A long way to go: Patient</u> <u>Perspectives on Digital Health for Parkinson's Disease.</u>
- van Uem *et al.*, J Parkinsons Dis. 2016; 6(2):279-87. <u>A Viewpoint on Wearable</u> <u>Technology-Enabled Measurement of Wellbeing and Health-Related Quality of Life in</u> <u>Parkinson's Disease.</u>
- Vega *et al.*, Human Factors in Computing Systems Conference Proceedings. 2018; 74:1– 13. <u>Back to Analogue: Self-Reporting for Parkinson's Disease.</u>
- Schultz-Knudsen, et al., Ther Innov Regul Sci. 2020; 55(3):503-13. <u>New Drug and</u> <u>Biologics Approvals in 2019: A Systematic Analysis of Patient Experience Data in FDA</u> <u>Drug Approval Packages and Product Labels.</u>
- Silva de Lima, et al., PLoS One. 2017; 12(12):e0189161. <u>Feasibility of large-scale</u> deployment of multiple wearable sensors in Parkinson's disease.
- Stephenson *et al.*, J Parkinsons Dis. 2021; 11(s1):S103-S109. <u>Digital Progression</u> <u>Biomarkers as Novel Endpoints in Clinical Trials: A Multistakeholder Perspective.</u>