Best Practices for Migrating Existing Patient-Reported Outcome Instruments to a New Data Collection Mode

**Scope**
This document addresses issues that should be considered when migrating existing patient-reported outcome (PRO) instruments to any available data collection mode (e.g., paper, interactive voice response [IVR] system, tablet, Web, handheld).

The assumptions and nomenclature related to the application of this document to the migration of an existing instrument are listed below.

**Assumptions**
1. This document is intended for those embarking on the migration of an existing instrument to a new mode of data collection from its original mode.
2. The considerations below are independent of the mode used for data collection.
3. This document does not replace or supersede guidance for the development of PRO instruments for use in assessing endpoints in clinical trials or the translation and cultural adaptation of PRO instruments. Selected foundational references are provided below.

a. References for Content Validity:

b. References for Translation and Cultural Adaptation:
Best Practices for Migrating Existing Patient-Reported Outcome Instruments
to a New Data Collection Mode

• Wild D, Eremenco S, Mear I, et al. Multinational trials – Recommendations on the translations required, approaches to using the same language in different countries, and the approaches to support pooling the data: The ISPOR patient-reported outcomes translation and linguistic validation good research practices task force report. *Value in Health* 2009;12:430-440.

Nomenclature
Throughout this document certain terms will be used synonymously. For example, when referring to a PRO measure, the terms *instrument, tool, questionnaire, and scale* may be used interchangeably.

The use of the words *mode* and *method* in this document differs from that used in the FDA’s PRO Guidance. The PRO Guidance defines *modes of administration* as self-administration, interview, or a combination of both. Further, *data collection methods* are defined to include paper-based, computer-assisted, and telephone-based assessments. However, an informal review of the articles included in a meta-analysis conducted by Gwaltney et al (2008) suggests a different use of this terminology in the ePRO literature. For the purposes of this document, the term *data collection mode* refers to various platforms available for instrument administration (i.e., paper and electronic platforms).

General Considerations

Context of Use:
• Consider characteristics of the relevant patient population and therapeutic area (i.e., context of use) for which the instrument is intended for use.
  o Consider functional abilities or limitations associated with the target population (e.g., diabetes-related vision problems, Ménière’s disease-related hearing loss, Parkinson’s disease-related tremors, stroke-related physical or cognitive impairment)
• Trial planning should consider infrastructure for collection of data electronically (e.g., Internet connectivity, cellular/mobile service).

Instrument Characteristics:
• Consider the setting (e.g. respondent’s home, study site) in which the instrument will be completed.
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- What are the restrictions/considerations for each setting?
  - Consider if multiple modes of the instrument will be used together (i.e., mixed modes within a study).
  - Consider patient burden and the length of the instrument, as well as the overall length of the battery of instruments being deployed.
    - Consider the patient burden of completing the instrument (e.g., the amount of time to complete the instrument, cognitive burden)
  - Consider the characteristics of the instrument and the appropriateness of migration to each respective mode.
    - Length of instrument: number of items
    - Length of item text: words per item
    - Length and structure of response options
      - Complexity (15 responses to evaluate degree of symptom change versus a simple “Yes” or “No”)
      - Visual analog scale (VAS)
      - Numeric rating scale (NRS)
      - Verbal rating scale (VRS)
      - Visual elements (e.g., body diagram)
  - Consult the instrument developer about the available modes of administration

Language Considerations:
- Consider regions of the world where the instrument will be utilized
- Assume that translated text will take more space than US English
- There are direct implications of wording/phrasing changes for how the existing instrument is formatted in a new mode
- Certain formatting does not translate well (e.g., certain fonts, capitalization, and underlining)

Electronic Considerations:
- Electronic technology provides many potential data collection benefits that do not exist at all on paper, such as seamless skip logic, real-time edit checks, calculations, and alarms. The impact, and benefits, of these should be considered and evaluated as part of the migration and not focused solely on what exists if the original version of the PRO instrument is on paper.
  - Consider the tradeoff between the incremental utility of using electronic data collection and the consequences to the instrument’s integrity. Does the content of the existing instrument change? How much new technology can be introduced to the target mode and what are the risks?
  - Depending on the level of change, additional testing may be required

**Instructions**

- Modification may be necessary for the instructions to make sense in the context of the target mode.
- Use platform-neutral language in instructions where possible.
- Instructions should be clear and succinct.
- Instructions need to be appropriate to the actions of the target mode. For example ‘circle the one answer...’ may become ‘choose the one answer...’ Phrases such as ‘mark the one answer...,’ may become an issue for electronic implementation.

**Items**

- Item stems are generally incomplete statements or direct questions. If the same incomplete statement is used for multiple items, each item should be self-contained (i.e., include the full stem and response options to avoid split-stems).
- Example of split stem (to be avoided)
  
  During the past 4 weeks, how much has your pain interfered with:
  1. Vigorous activities such as running or heavy lifting?
  2. Moderate activities such as climbing a flight of stairs?
- Example of complete items
  1. During the past 4 weeks, how much has your pain interfered with vigorous activities such as running or heavy lifting?
  2. During the past 4 weeks, how much has your pain interfered with moderate activities such as climbing a flight of stairs?
- Instructional language should be left out of item stems where possible and appropriate.
  - If there is a time frame for recall, be sure that is presented with every item for which it is relevant, not just once in the instructions, or at the beginning of a series of items.
- If instructional language is included in the item, then mode-neutral language should be used where possible.
- When migrating to an electronic mode that requires visual processing of the questions, full question text and all response options should be visible on the screen at all times.
If the full question and responses cannot be displayed on the screen, possible workarounds include toggling, scrolling, hover notes, and split screens.

Response Scales
- Consider the nature of each response scale in the instrument to evaluate the appropriateness for migration to the target mode.
- The instrument should require the subject to enter an active response to each item, as it is imperative to avoid a passive (i.e., default) response. If a respondent does not complete an item, the data should be recorded as missing or no response.
- Length of response options and number of response options may have an impact on appropriateness for migrating to certain modes.
- It is important to consider how edit checks (e.g., the respondent is alerted to re-enter their response if an out-of-range value or missing value is entered) would be implemented for alternative modes. If possible, it is advisable to keep the edit checks consistent across modalities.
- Item branching logic based on the response chosen is an important factor to consider for the migration to electronic modes.
- Verbal anchors for visual analog scales or numeric rating scales may be difficult to place at the end of the response scale on small screen-based devices. Consider using an upright mark to link the extreme value with the anchor text as shown below: