# SECOND ANNUAL PATIENT-REPORTED OUTCOME (PRO) CONSORTIUM WORKSHOP

March 15, 2011 ■ Silver Spring, MD

**Co-sponsored by** 





# Patient-Reported Outcome (PRO) Consortium: Update

### Stephen Joel Coons, PhD

Executive Director, PRO Consortium
Critical Path Institute





### **Goals of PRO Consortium**



- Enable pre-competitive collaboration that includes FDA input/expertise
- Avoid development of multiple PRO instruments for same purpose
- Share costs of developing new PRO instruments
- Develop qualified, publicly available PRO instruments
- Facilitate FDA's review of medical products by standardizing PRO endpoints

## Membership



### Voting Members

Medical product (pharmaceutical, diagnostic, and medical device) companies

### Non-Voting Participants

- Liaisons/advisors from governmental agencies
- Clinician consultants, patient advocates, academic researchers, and commercial entities partnering in the development of the PRO instruments

### **Members**

- Abbott
- Actelion Pharmaceuticals
- Allergan
- Amgen
- Astellas Pharma
- AstraZeneca
- Boehringer Ingelheim
- Bristol-Myers Squibb
- Daiichi Sankyo
- Eisai
- Eli Lilly & Company
- Forest Laboratories

- GlaxoSmithKline
- Ironwood Pharmaceuticals
- Johnson & Johnson
- Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.
- Novartis
- Novo Nordisk
- Pfizer
- Roche
- · sanofi-aventis
- Shire
- Sunovion
- Takeda Pharmaceuticals
- · UCB

# **Organizational Chart**



PRO Consortium
Director, SJ Coons (C-Path)
Co-Director, Risa Hayes (Lilly)

One voting rep from each member firm plus advisors from FDA, EMA, and NIH

**Coordinating Committee** 

ePRO Subcommittee Eight
Disease/Condition
Working Groups

Process Subcommittee

Over 150 scientists and/or clinicians participate

# Working Groups as of Last Year's Workshop



- Asthma
- Breast Cancer
- Cognition (Mild Cognitive Impairment)
- Depression
- Irritable Bowel Syndrome
- Lung Cancer (NSCLC)

### **New Working Groups**



- In February 2010, proposals for the creation of seven new working groups were submitted by member firms for consideration
- FDA reviewed six submitted Feasibility Documents based on the proposals
- FDA was willing to consider PRO instruments for four of the six proposed new areas
- Two new working groups were authorized at the end of 2010
  - Functional Dyspepsia
  - Rheumatoid Arthritis

# Path to Qualification of a New PRO Instrument



- Feasibility Document
- Scoping Stage Summary Document
  - Proposed target population, concepts, conceptual framework, labeling language, and endpoint model (showing endpoint hierarchy)
- Qualitative Research Summary Document:
  - Evidence that supports the content validity of draft PRO measure, including confirmation or revision of the proposed conceptual framework
- Quantitative Research Summary Document:
  - Evidence supporting other measurement properties (e.g., reliability, construct validity, responsiveness) of final PRO instrument, along with user manual, and other documentation
- Qualification Dossier

### **Overall Working Group Status**



#### On Hold

Breast Cancer WG

#### Scoping Stage

- Functional Dyspepsia WG
- Rheumatoid Arthritis WG
- Lung Cancer WG

### Vendor Selection Stage (prior to qualitative research)

- Asthma WG
- Depression WG

#### Qualitative Research Stage

- Irritable Bowel Syndrome WG
- Cognition WG