

WNL.00000000000011677.full_

Published Ahead of Print on February 12, 2021 as 10.1212/WNL.00000000000011677

Neurology

The neurology journal of the American Academy of Neurology

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF
NEUROLOGY

Neurology Publish Ahead of Print
DOI: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000011677

CORRECTION

Evaluation of Multiple Sclerosis Disability Outcome Measures Using Pooled Clinical Trial Data
In the above "Translation of Multiple Sclerosis Disability Outcome Measures Using Pooled Clinical Trial Data" by N. Gauthier et al., the text compared some mislabeled data when the analysis of the MSQAC data set included in the paper. The authors found that the discrepancies were present because of a coding error that produced inaccurate results in the Kaplan-Meier results. The authors regret the error. The text below, provided by the authors, shows the corrected material.

From the Authors

In our publication in *Neurology*, we analyzed a pooled dataset comprising 12,776 clinical trial participants that had been assembled by the Multiple Sclerosis Outcome Assessments Consortium (MSQAC) to evaluate 8 performance tools as potential components of a multidimensional test battery. We reported measurement properties, construct, convergent, and known group validity, and longitudinal performance of the Timed 25-Foot Walk (T25FW), Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), Lower Limb Motor Activity (LLMA), and Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT) individually and when combined into a multidimensional test battery compared to the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) and Short Form-36 Physical Component Summary. The datasets and data in the MSQAC database were made publicly available to support research by investigators in the field. Following publication of our paper, 4 colleagues, using the publicly available datasets from MSQAC, contacted us after finding seemingly different results than reported in our paper. To better understand the potential discrepancy in results, we returned to our original Subdomain 6b scores to individual progress (Relative Ankle Speed, RAS) using the same set of analysis were discovered. These errors resulted in incorrect progression rates for the T25FW and SDMT, as well as the composite measure of progression based on one 1 or 2 or 3 measures, which included T25FW and SDMT. In addition, while the graph of progression by LLMA was correct, in the text, we incorrectly gave results for LLMA progression (and its kappa coefficient for agreement with EDSS) using a 20% threshold, instead of the 7-point threshold stated. Hence, we report corrected results.

Neurology® Publish Ahead of Print articles have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication. This manuscript will be published in its final form after copyediting, page composition, and review of proofs. Errors that could affect the content may be corrected during these processes.

Copyright © 2021 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.